Impact on Average Residential Customer Bill Caused By E 77 M L 160 Plant Advice Letter, Office Complex, 10% Plant Cap, and Facility Fees

% Increase Over 3-Years	12 Total Monthly Average Bill Including Sanhill and New Office Building	11 Average Monthly Usage of 23 ccf with new rate of \$1.751/ccf		9 Estimate of revised average residential monthly bill including Sandhill and New Office Building	8 Estimate Increase in Average Monthly Bill over 3 year Period	7 Average Monthly Usage of 23 ccf with increase of \$0.32375/ccf	6 Increase in Weighted average Customer Service Charge	5 Increase in Average monthly bill (Total 3 year Increase)	4 Total Average Monthly Bill	3 Average Monthly Usage of 23 ccf at adopted volumetric rate of \$1.4277 in Alternate PD	2 Weighted average Customer Service Charge (Based on Adopted Monthly Service Charges-Alternate PD)	1 Average monthly bill in Rate Year 1 for residential metered customer:	<b>E</b> pton 12	
24.0%	69	<del>()</del>	↔		€	↔	↔		↔	↔	69		Avera	
	67.11	40.28	26.83		13.01	7.45	5.57		54.10	32.84	21.26		Monthly Annual Average (a, b) Average	
	S	€9	↔		₩	₩	\$		↔	↔	₩		A A	
	\$ 805.36	483.40	321.96		156.14	89.36	66.78		649.22	394.05	255.18		Annual Average	

Monthly Service Charge beacuase approximately 62% of customers have 5/8"-3/4" meters and 35% have 1" meters. a) Monthly Service Charge and Volume Rates from Alternate Proposed Decision Appendix D, page 1 of 2. DRA calculated a weighted average

b) The actual rate at the end of the GRC cycle will be higher.

Also assumes the impacts are flowed evenly to all customer classes Other items will impact the actual customer charge at the end of the three year GRC cycles, such as annual escalations

## San Gabriel Valley Water Company Fontana Water Company Division

## Company Presentation with Two Essential Modifications (Does not Indicate DRA Agrees Remaining Amounts are Accurate or Appropriate) (Dollars in Thousands)

Alternate Proposed Decision	Changes	TY 06 - 07	EY 07-08	EY 08 - 09	3 Years
Present Rates Adopted Rates Increase % Increase		\$ 43,588.8 \$ 41,938.8 \$ (1,650.0) -3.8%	\$ 44,646.8 \$ 2,708.0 6.5%	\$ 46,641.4 \$ 1,994.6 4.5%	\$:3,052.6 7.0%
Gain on Sale Refunds	(A)				
SUBTOTAL Increase % Increase					\$ 3,052.6 7.0%
Advice Letter Revenues					
Sandhill Upgrade @ \$23 million				\$ 5,000.0	
SUBTOTAL Increase % Increase					\$ 8,052.6 18.5%
New Office Complex @ \$4.9 mill	ion				\$ 1,100.0
SUBTOTAL Increase % Increase					\$ 9,152.6 21.0%
Facilities Fee Revenues	_				
Revised to Shift by One Year	(B)		\$ (645.8)	\$ (1,926.5)	\$ (2,572.3)
TOTAL 3-YEAR INCREASE % Increase			3		\$ 6,580.3 15.1%

The above calculations are based on amounts presented by San Gabriel Valley Water Company, with two important corrections. This does not indicate DRA agreement with remaining amounts.

(A) Refunds are being excluded as they are not a permanent change in rates, rather a refund of

(A) Refunds are being excluded as they are not a permanent change in rates, rather a refund of past overcollections

(B) Since the Facilities Fees have not yet been implemented, the projected amounts in Exhibit 62A need to be set back by one year as they will not begin to be collected until a final decision is in place.

## San Gabriel Valley Water Company Fontana Water Company Division Impact on Customers Caused by Delay in Decision Based on Alternate Proposed Decision Amounts

Test Year Rate Decrease, per Alternate Proposed Decision	\$ 1,650,000
Months Delayed from Start of Test Year - July 1, 2006 (A)	10 Months
Impact of Loss of 10 Months of Rate Decrease	\$ 1,375,000
Loss in Revenue Requirement Benefit of Delaying Implementation of Facilities Fees by one year (B)	\$ 3,176,900
Combined Impact	\$ 4,551,900

(A) Assumes decision will become final with new rates taking effect May 1, 2007.

<sup>(</sup>B) Based on Exhibit 62A (DRA) as a result of pushing revenue requirement impacts back by one year and is the cumulative impact through the end of the second escalation year, or June 30, 2009.